# $\frac{\textbf{NON-METALLIC MINERAL PROCESSING}}{\underline{\textbf{PLANTS}}}$



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) ☐ COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ☐ ARMS COMPLAINT NO:	(CI)
AIRS ID#: 7775175 DATE: <u>5/22/2014</u> ARRIVE:	DEPART:
FACILITY NAME: CRUSH-IT, INC	
FACILITY LOCATION: 4150 Glades Cutoff Road	
FORT PIERCE 33610	
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: WILLIAM RICHARDSON PHONE: CONTACT NAME: PHONE: Email: Mobile: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 3/3/2012 / 3/3/2017 (effective date) (end date)	HONE: (941)918-2400
Facility Section	
PART I: <u>INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS</u> (check ☑ only one box)  ☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT I	Non-COMPLIANCE
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING	(check ☑ only one box for each question)
1. Name(s) of facility representative(s):	-
Brief Notes:	
2. Is the Authorized Representative still WILLIAM RICHARDSON?	⊠ Yes □No
If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days?  3. Is the facility contact still?	
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?	

## Emissions Unit Section 1 –NMMP Plant-prim.crusher w/spraybars, w/diesel RICE,250 T/hr

<u>Is</u>	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processing {Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majorities any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granit Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlo	y e, Gravel; Salt; ride,	
	and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermic (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.}		
2. 3.	Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	No  No  No  No
su	answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.		
5.	Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process		
6	any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	☐ Yes	□No
	capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?	Yes	□No
7.	Is the EU located at a portable sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour)?	☐ Yes	□No
8.	Is the EU located at a common clay plant or pumice plant with capacity less than or equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour)?	Yes	□No

## 1 –NMMP Plant-prim.crusher w/spraybars, w/diesel RICE,250 T/hr

9.	Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	l ng	esNo
10	Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	☐ Ye	esNo
	(Note: Wet mining operation means a mining or dredging operation designed and operated to extract any nonmetallic mineral from deposits existing at or below the water table, where the nonmetallic mineral is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material with sufficient surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing of the material through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wetted solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
su	answer to any of the six Questions 5 -10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.		
11	When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed?		
12	. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	☐ Ye	es 🗌No
If	answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20		
13	Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	☐ Ye	esNo
If	answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19		
14	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	<ul><li>☐ Ye</li><li>☐ Ye</li><li>☐ Ye</li><li>☐ Ye</li></ul>	esNo esNo
15	If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits:  a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU? ———————————————————————————————————	☐ Ye	es 🗌 No
	one or more affected EUs.} b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)? c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings? d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	☐ Ye ☐ Ye ☐ Ye	es 🔲No

## 1 –NMMP Plant-prim.crusher w/spraybars, w/diesel RICE,250 T/hr

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?		Yes	□No
If yes, the owner operator:			
uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d);			
☐ follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturi	ng		
as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or			
none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)			
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse,	_		_
were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? N/A	□ `	Yes	□ No
18. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?		Yes	No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:			
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the			
scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's		<b>.</b> 7	
instructions?	- Ш	Yes	∐No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250			
pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.}			
and b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the			
device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?		Vac	□No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5%	Ш	103	\\0
of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}			
of design scrubbing fiquid flow rate.			
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?		Yes	□No
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?		Yes	□No
If yes:		Yes	□No
		Yes	□No
If yes:  a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to		Yes	□No
If yes:  a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?		Yes	□No
If yes:  a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?  b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete		Yes	□No
<ul> <li>If yes:</li> <li>a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?</li> <li>b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?</li> </ul>			□No
If yes:  a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?  b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?  c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken,			
If yes:  a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?  b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?  c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?			
<ul> <li>If yes:</li> <li>a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?</li> <li>b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?</li> <li>c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?</li></ul>			
<ul> <li>If yes:</li> <li>a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?</li> <li>b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?</li> <li>c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?</li></ul>			
<ul> <li>If yes: <ul> <li>a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?</li> <li>b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?</li> <li>c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?</li></ul></li></ul>		Yes	No
<ul> <li>If yes:</li> <li>a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?</li> <li>b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?</li> <li>c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?</li></ul>		Yes	
If yes:  a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?  b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?  c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes	No
If yes:  a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?  b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?  c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes	No
If yes:  a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?  b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?  c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes Yes	No
If yes:  a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?  b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?  c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes Yes	NoNo
If yes:  a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?  b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?  c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes Yes Yes Yes	
If yes:  a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?  b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?  c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?		Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	
<ul> <li>If yes: <ul> <li>a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?</li> <li>b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?</li> <li>c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?</li></ul></li></ul>		Yes Yes Yes Yes	

### 1 –NMMP Plant-prim.crusher w/spraybars, w/diesel RICE,250 T/hr

22. If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not			
individually in compliance with emissions limits:			
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of	_		
initial startup of the EU? N/A	Ш	Yes	∐ No
{A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the			
purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from			
one or more affected EUs.} b. Was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?		Yes	□No
c. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	H	Yes	□No
c. Were initial ragin to emissions from non-tone contemps of the second of equal 12 Transport	ш	105	
23. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?		Yes	□No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:			
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the			
scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's	$\overline{}$		_ ,,
instructions?	Ш	Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250			
pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.}  and			
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the	e,		
device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?		Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5%			
of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}			
24 William and the last VIE test and directed by the arrange on the FUP			
<b>24. When was the last VE test conducted by the owner/operator for this EU?</b> a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 subpart OOO, has the EU been tested within the past 5 years?		Yes	□No
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpart OOO; has the EU been tested within the past 3 years?	Ш	168	□1\0
i. has the EU been tested during each of the past 4 calendar years?		Yes	□No
ii. has the EU been tested yet within the current calendar year?	H	Yes	No
	_		
25. Was a VE test conducted by the owner/operator for this unit during this site visit?		Yes	□No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate?		Yes	□No
Rate:			_ ,,
b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Ш	Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average.		Vac	$\square$ No
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below)	Ш	Yes	□No
26. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>inspector</i> for this unit during this site visit?	П	Yes	□No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate?		Yes	No
Rate:			_
b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?		Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average.	_		
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below)		Yes	□No
			ļ

## Emissions Unit Section 2 –NMMP Plant-screening operation, 80 sq ft

<u>Is</u>	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processing {Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majorities any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granities Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlorand Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermice (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.}	y e, Gravel; Salt; ride, Kernite,	
2. 3.	Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	No No No No
su	answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.		
6. 7.	Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	<ul><li>☐ Yes</li><li>☐ Yes</li><li>☐ Yes</li><li>☐ Yes</li></ul>	NoNoNoNo

### 2 -NMMP Plant-screening operation, 80 sq ft

9.	Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	l ng	□No
10	Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	☐ Yes	□No
	(Note: Wet mining operation means a mining or dredging operation designed and operated to extract any nonmetallic mineral from deposits existing at or below the water table, where the nonmetallic mineral is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material with sufficient surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing of the material through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wetted solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
su	answer to any of the six Questions 5 -10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.		
11	When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed?		
12	. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	Yes	□No
If	answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20		
13	Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	Yes	□No
If	answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19		
14	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU? N/A  b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)? c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)? d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Yes Yes Yes Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No
15	If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits:  a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU? ———————————————————————————————————	Yes	☐ No
	<ul> <li>purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.}</li> <li>b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?</li> <li>c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings?</li> <li>d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?</li> </ul>	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	□No □No □No

### 2 -NMMP Plant-screening operation, 80 sq ft

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	□No
If yes, the owner operator: conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22;		
uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d);		
follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturin	ıg	
as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or		
none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)		
477 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4		
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse,	□ Vas	□ No
were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? N/A	∐ Yes	∐ No
18. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	☐ Yes	□No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:	1 C3	
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the		
scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's		
instructions?	Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250	_	_
pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.}		
and		
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the		
	Yes Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5%		
of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}		
10 Is not summarion used to control emissions from the EU9	□ Vaa	□ Na
The same of the sa	∐ Yes	∐No
If yes:  a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to		
the discharge spray nozzles?		
b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete		
corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?		
c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken,		
recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?	☐ Yes	□No
If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following		
questions and go directly to Question 24.		
20. Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures,	□ Vaa	□ Na
Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	∐ Yes	∐No
21. Initial Tests:		
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of		
initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes	☐ No
b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?	Yes	□No
c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?	Yes	□No
d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Yes Yes	□No

### 2 -NMMP Plant-screening operation, 80 sq ft

22. If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not			
individually in compliance with emissions limits:			
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of	_		_
initial startup of the EU?	Ш	Yes	☐ No
{A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the			
purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.}			
b. Was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?		Yes	□No
c. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?		Yes	□No
C. Well milital rugitive emissions from non-vent canama openings reso man of -1		105	□\ <u>`</u>
23. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?		Yes	□No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:			
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the			
scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer'			_ ,,
instructions?	· Ш	Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250			
pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.}  and			
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the scrubber are the scrubber and the scrubber are the scrubber and the scrubber are the scr	he		
device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?		Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5%	_		
of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}			
24. When was the last VE test conducted by the owner/operator for this EU?			
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 subpart OOO, has the EU been tested within the past 5 years?		Yes	□No
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpart OOO;	ш	103	
i. has the EU been tested during each of the past 4 calendar years?		Yes	□No
ii. has the EU been tested yet within the current calendar year?		Yes	□No
	_		
25. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>owner/operator</i> for this unit during this site visit?	님	Yes	□No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate?	Ш	Yes	□No
Rate: b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?		Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average.	Ш	168	
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below)	П	Yes	□No
d. Did the VD test demonstrate compliance with the spacety mine. (222 2000)	_	100	
26. Was a VE test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit?		Yes	□No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate?		Yes	□No
Rate:			,
b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Ш	Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average.		Vaa	$\square$ No
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below)	Ш	Yes	□No

## Emissions Unit Section 3 –NMMP Plant-diesel RICE crusher power unit, 325 Hp

<u>Is</u>	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processing (Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majority is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granity Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlo and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermic (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.}	y e, Gravel; Salt; ride, Kernite,	
2. 3. 4.	Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	No  No  No  No
su If	bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.		
6. 7.	Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	<ul><li>☐ Yes</li><li>☐ Yes</li><li>☐ Yes</li></ul>	No No No
	equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour)?	Yes	□No

### 3 –NMMP Plant-diesel RICE crusher power unit, 325 Hp

9.	Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or		
	belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher,		
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	☐ Yes	□No
	{Note: "wet screening operation" means a screening operation which removes unwanted material or	_	_
	which separates marketable fines from the product by a washing process which is designed and operat	ed	
	at all times such that the product is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral materia		
	with sufficient surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processi		
	of the material through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wet		
	solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}	ica	
	solely by the suppleasion systems is not considered to be suitable for purposes by this definition.		
10	Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line		
10	downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher,		
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	Yes	□No
	grinding finit of storage out in the production fine.	1 C5	
	{Note: Wet mining operation means a mining or dredging operation designed and operated to extract		
	any nonmetallic mineral from deposits existing at or below the water table, where the nonmetallic		
	mineral is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material with sufficient surface		
	moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing of the material		
	through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wetted solely by		
	wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
1£	answer to any of the six Overtions 5, 10, above is "Ver" than the EU is not subject to		
	answer to any of the six Questions 5 -10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to		
	bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
IJ	the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.		
11	When we the EU lest constructed modified on reconstructed?		
11	.When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed?		
12	W4L-EU4-1 1'C-14-1 2'C-1	□ <b>3</b> 7	□ N.
12	. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	☐ Yes	∐No
TC			
IJ	answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20		
12	Does the EII have a particulate matter conture quetar (equipment including analogues		
13	. Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures,	□ Vac	□ No
	Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	☐ Yes	□No
Τſ	groups to Organian 12 is "No" skip the following greations and as directly to Organian 10		
IJ	answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19		
1 1	Initial Tasta		
14	.Initial Tests:		
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of	□ <b>3</b> 7	□ N.
	initial startup of the EU? N/A	∐ Yes	∐ No
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	∐ Yes	∐No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?	∐ Yes	∐No
	d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?	∐ Yes	∐No
١			
15	.If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not		
	individually in compliance with emissions limits:		
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of		
	initial startup of the EU?	Yes Yes	∐ No
	$\{A \text{ "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the }$		
	purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from		
	one or more affected EUs.}		
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	☐ Yes	□No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings?	☐ Yes	□No
	d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?		□No
		_	

## <u>3 –NMMP Plant-diesel RICE crusher power unit, 325 Hp</u>

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?		esNo
If yes, the owner operator:  conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22;  uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d);  follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturin as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or  none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)		
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse, were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity?   N/A	□ Y	es No
<b>18.Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?</b> If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:	□ Y	esNo
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?	. 🗌 Y	esNo
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}		esNo
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?	□ Y	esNo
<ul> <li>If yes:</li> <li>a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?</li> <li>b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?</li> <li>c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?</li></ul>	□ Y	es □No
If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
<b>20.Does the EU have a particulate matter</b> <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	□ Y	esNo
21. Initial Tests:  a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Y ☐ Y	es No esNo esNo esNo

### 3 –NMMP Plant-diesel RICE crusher power unit, 325 Hp

A 16 the EU is a building analysing our other warnload EU and all analysis EU and add			
2. If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not			
individually in compliance with emissions limits:			
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?		V <sub>22</sub>	$\square$ No
	Ш	Yes	∐ No
{A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the			Į!
purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from			
one or more affected EUs.}	$\overline{}$		
b. Was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.05 g/dscm (0.022 gr/dscf)?	$\vdash$	Yes	∐No
c. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	Ш	Yes	∐No
2 T		<b>T7</b>	
3. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	Ш	Yes	□No
If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:			
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the			Į!
scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's	$\overline{}$		
instructions?	Ш	Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +250			Į!
pascals +1 inch water gauge pressure.}			
and			
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the			
device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?	Ш	Yes	□No
{Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5%			
of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}			
A TYP OLI A TYPE A STANDARD TO THE PART OF			
4. When was the last VE test conducted by the owner/operator for this EU?	$\Box$	<b>T</b> 7	
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 subpart OOO, has the EU been tested within the past 5 years?	Ш	Yes	□No
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpart OOO:			
i. has the EU been tested during each of the past 4 calendar years?	$\sqcup$	Yes	∐No
ii. has the EU been tested yet within the current calendar year?	Ш	Yes	□No
F XX XVE 4 - 4 3 - 4 - 3 b - 4b		<b>37</b>	□ N1.
5. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>owner/operator</i> for this unit during this site visit?	$\vdash$	Yes	□No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate?	Ш	Yes	□No
Rate:	$\Box$	<b>T</b> 7	□ xr.
b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Ш	Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average.	$\overline{}$		_ ,,
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below)	Ш	Yes	□No
		*7	□ xτ.
6. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>inspector</i> for this unit during this site visit?	$\vdash$	Yes	∐No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate?	Ш	Yes	∐No
Rate:			
b. Was the VE test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Ш	Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average.			,
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below)	Ш	Yes	□No
			l

## Emissions Unit Section 4 –NMMP Plant-belt conveyors (2)

		(check <b>☑</b>	only one
	ł	ox for each	question)
<u>Is</u>	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processing (Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majorities any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granit Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlos and Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermic (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.}	ng Plants? y e, Gravel; Salt; ride, Kernite,	1
	Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes	□No
3.	Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed after August 31, 1983?	Yes Yes	No
su	answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.		
5.	Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	☐ Yes	□No
	Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?	☐ Yes	□No
	Is the EU located at a portable sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour)?	☐ Yes	□No
0.	equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour)?	Yes	□No

### 4 –NMMP Plant-belt conveyors (2)

9. Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	l ng	□No
10. Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher, grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	☐ Yes	□No
If answer to any of the six Questions 5-10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to subpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.  If the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.  11. When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed?		
12. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	☐ Yes	□No
If answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20		
<b>13. Does the EU have a particulate matter</b> <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	☐ Yes	□No
If answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19		
a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No
15. If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits:  a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes	□ No
b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)? c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings? d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	□No □No □No

### 4 –NMMP Plant-belt conveyors (2)

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	□No
If yes, the owner operator:  conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22; uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d); follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturi as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)		
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse, were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity?   N/A	☐ Yes	☐ No
<b>18.Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?</b> If yes, does the owner/operator maintain and operate:	Yes	□No
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?	Yes	□No
b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.}		□No
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?	☐ Yes	□No
<ul> <li>If yes:</li> <li>a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles?</li> <li>b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly?</li> <li>c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?</li></ul>	☐ Yes	□No
If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
<b>20.Does the EU have a particulate matter</b> <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	☐ Yes	□No
21. Initial Tests:  a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No

### 4 –NMMP Plant-belt conveyors (2)

22. If the EU is a building enclosing any	other regulated EUs	and all enclosed EUs are not			
individually in compliance with emi	ssions limits:				
a. Was an initial PM stack test perform					
initial startup of the EU?			/A	Yes No	
$\{A \text{ "vent" is any opening through whi}$					
purpose of exhausting from a building	air carrying particulat	te matter (PM) emissions from			
one or more affected EUs.}			_	_	
b. Was the EU found to be in complia				Yes <u></u> No	
c. Were initial fugitive emissions from	n non-vent building ope	enings less than or equal to 7%	opacity? 🔲 `	Yes ∐No	
23.Is a wet scrubber used to control em	nissions from the EU?			Yes \[ \] No	
If yes, does the owner/operator mainta			Ш		
a. a device for the continuous measure		oss of the gas stream through the	e.		
scrubber and the device has been					
instructions?				Yes □No	
{Note: The monitoring device m					
pascals +1 inch water gauge pres	•	nanaractarer to be accurate with	1111 1250		
and	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,				
b. a device for the continuous measur	ement of the scrubbing	liquid flow rate to the wet scrul	bber and the		
device has been calibrated on an	annual basis in accorda	ance with manufacturer's instruc	ctions?	YesNo	
{Note: The monitoring device m	ust be certified by the r	nanufacturer to be accurate with	hin +5%		
of design scrubbing liquid flow r	ate.}				
24. When was the last VE test conducte	2	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 s		U been tested within the past 5	years? 🔲	Yes ∐No	
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpart		. 1		v 🗆 N.	
i. has the EU been tested during				Yes ∐No	
ii. has the EU been tested yet with	min the current calenda	r year?	·	YesNo	
25. Was a VE test conducted by the owner/operator for this unit during this site visit? YesNo					
a. Was the VE test conducted at a pro				Yes \[ \] No	
Rate:	coss race that is represen				
b. Was the VE test conducted accordi	ng to EPA Method 9? -			YesNo	
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of	of% for the higher	est six-minute average.	_	_	
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compl	iance with the opacity l	limit? (See chart below)		YesNo	
26. Was a VE test conducted by the insp				Yes ∐No	
a. Was the VE test conducted at a pro	cess rate that is represe	ntative of the normal rate?		YesNo	
Rate:b. Was the VE test conducted accordi	ng to EDA Mothod 02		$\Box$	YesNo	
c. The VE test conducted according to				iesNo	
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compl			¬	YesNo	
d. Did the VE test demonstrate compr	idinee with the opacity i	mint. (See chart selow).			
	****			1	
	VE Opac		G 1 400	O FIL	
	EU not subject to	Subpart OOO EU	Subpart OO		
	40 CFR 60	constructed, modified,	constructed,		
	Subpart OOO	or reconstructed prior	or reconstru		
		to 4/22/2008	after 4/22/20		
Crusher with no capture system	20%	15%	12		
All other affected EUs	20%	10%	79	%	

## **Facility Section (continued)**

REASONABLE PRECAUTIONS FOR UNCONFINED EMISSIONS	(check <b>☑</b> box for each	only one question)
1. Does the owner/operator of the NMMP Plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined		
emissions by:  a) Use of water suppression system(s) with spray bars located wherever unconfined emissions occur (at the feeder(s), the entrance and exit of the crusher(s), the classifier screens, and the conveyor drop points)?	☐ Yes	□ No
If no, where are unconfined emissions occurring?	<u> </u>	
b) Use of water trucks equipped with spray bars to apply water or effective dust suppressant(s) on a regular basis (to all stockpiles, roadways and work yards)? N/A c) Paving and maintaining roads and parking areas? N/A d) Removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control	☐ Yes ☐ Yes	□ No □ No
of the owner/operator to prevent re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter?	Yes	□ No
e) Reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles? N/A	Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:  a) Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? N/A  b) If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?  c) What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	Yes Yes	□ No □No
CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY      Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit:	(check 🗹 box for each q	only one nuestion)
a) 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?		
b) 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c) 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	- Yes	□No □No □No
b) 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?	Yes Yes Yes	No

<u>(</u>	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to:  a) 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?		No  No  No  No  No
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or Allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	(check 🗹 box for each o	only one question)
	a) maintain the authorized facility in good condition? b) ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?	Yes	□No □No
	ELOCATABLE PLANT  The facility:  is stationary; is relocatable; or consists of both stationary and relocatable NMMP and/or concrete batching plants. (If only stationary, skip the following questions 2 and 3.)	(check 🗹 box for each o	only one question)
	For a relocated NMMP plant:  a) did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location? b) did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(or to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following relocation?	5)] Yes	□No
3.	If the relocatable NMMP plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air opera permit, and the relocatable NMMP plant is <u>not</u> included as an emissions unit in that separate permit:  a) was the relocatable NMMP plant being used for a non-routine purpose?	- Yes	No

CHANGES  Administrative Changes:	(check 🗹 box for each	•
<ol> <li>Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone rassociated with a change in ownership or with a physical operations comprising the facility; or any other similar named and the facility provide written notification within the facility provide written notification within the facility provide written notification within the facility provide written notification.</li> </ol>	l relocation of the facility or any emissions units or minor administrative change at the facility? Yes	□No □No
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownersh  3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a) Installation of any new process equipment? b) Alterations to existing process equipment without rep c) Replacement of existing equipment with equipment t d) A change in ownership?	Yes placement?	No No No No
Brenda Johnson	22 May 2014	
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection	
	2015	
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	

**COMMENTS:** This Unit is no longer in Jacksonville Florida at APAC Southeast -Colray Court. I was informed by Mr. John Wohlwend that they are now located in South Carolina. Last VE was done on 12/10/2013 in Hillsborough County.